		Late		1
TRANSMIT	TAL SLIP	J8 Dor	il 72	_
TO: REL	156			
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	BBB	4/25/	B
REMARKS:			// /	
				1
		,		_
FROM:	TEB/	ESD		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING		EXTENSION	$ oldsymbol{ol}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$
FORM NO 241	REPLACES FOR WHICH MAY BE	M 36-8 : USED.		(47)
115000 - 1.			•	

Declass review by NGA/DoD

25X1

1 8 APR 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief,	WCPO/OL	
FROM : Chief,	SC&PB/SS/NPIC	
SUBJECT : Contrac	t Item 1b	
		,
l. Attached are co Light Tables, Item 1b of	opies of two test reports dealing with DIA's 1540 Contract	25X
reworked the table a	table was returned to after being rejected. and resubmitted it for acceptance. The second test 1972, indicates that the rework did not effect any in performance. The table still does not meet the specification.	25X
3. everything they can to meally saying that they to meet the specification	has been quoted as saying that they have done make the table acceptable. I think that he is do not choose to spend any more money in an attemption.	
local office) The meet	iscussed the situation with you on 13 April, and I met with an engineer from thing had been requested by at the meeting but was unable to.	25X 25X 25X
- 5. I told	that it was our intention to send them a	
"useable" in its present	tates that he thinks the table would probably be t condition. He is, however, very concerned that would not even measure up to the prototype. He is e possibility/probability of excessive maintenance	
draftemanshin to reword	t would be a very real problem of specification the performance specification to admit accepting that we would have to accept nothing worse.	

1

ERGUP 1 Exeludor from ortomatic fromgrading and Assistantians

Approved For Release 2005/06/23 : CIA-RDP78B05171A000400030011-8

Subject: Contract	Item ID
8. I think that, as a praccept tables which do not corat a reduced price).	ractical matter, we will ultimately have to nform to the present specification (hopefully
she deficiencies in the table	not made any substantial effort to correct design since the rejection. The issuance, 0-day "cure notice" would probably change
Distribution: Orig Addressee 1 - DIA/ 1 - SC&PB Cont. File 2 - ESD 2 - RED	
NPIC/SS/SC&PB	718April 1972

25X